

## Likelihood Analysis of the Chalcone Synthase Genes Suggests the Role of Positive Selection in Morning Glories (*Ipomoea*)

Ji Yang,<sup>1</sup> Hongya Gu,<sup>1</sup> Ziheng Yang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biology, University College London, Darwin Building, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, England

Received: 21 February 2003 / Accepted: 21 July 2003

**Abstract.** Chalcone synthase (CHS) is a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of flavonoides, which are important for the pigmentation of flowers and act as attractants to pollinators. Genes encoding CHS constitute a multigene family in which the copy number varies among plant species and functional divergence appears to have occurred repeatedly. In morning glories (*Ipomoea*), five functional CHS genes (A–E) have been described. Phylogenetic analysis of the *Ipomoea* CHS gene family revealed that CHS A, B, and C experienced accelerated rates of amino acid substitution relative to CHS D and E. To examine whether the CHS genes of the morning glories underwent adaptive evolution, maximum-likelihood models of codon substitution were used to analyze the functional sequences in the *Ipomoea* CHS gene family. These models used the nonsynonymous/synonymous rate ratio ( $\omega = d_N/d_S$ ) as an indicator of selective pressure and allowed the ratio to vary among lineages or sites. Likelihood ratio test suggested significant variation in selection pressure among amino acid sites, with a small proportion of them detected to be under positive selection along the branches ancestral to CHS A, B, and C. Positive Darwinian selection appears to have promoted the divergence of subfamily ABC and subfamily DE and is at least partially responsible for a rate increase following gene duplication.

**Key words:** *Ipomoea* — Chalcone synthase — Positive selection — Maximum likelihood — Codon models

### Introduction

Plants of the morning glory genus (*Ipomoea*) are distributed worldwide and are characterized by a rich diversity of flower colors. For example, the common morning glory (*I. purpurea*) has white, pink, and blue or dark-blue flowers (Clegg and Durbin 2000). The diversity in flower color is almost certainly due to differences in either the structural or the regulatory genes of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway (Durbin et al. 1995), which culminates in the production of anthocyanins, the main pigments responsible for flower color. The presence or absence of these pigments affects the coloration of the floral display, which attracts pollinators. The anthocyanin pigments are therefore important to reproductive success (Clegg and Durbin 2000).

The first step in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway, the formation of naringenin chalcone from malonyl-CoA and *p*-coumaroyl-CoA, is catalyzed by the enzyme chalcone synthase (CHS). CHS is a typical homodimeric plant polyketide synthase with two subunits of about 43 kDa (Ferrer et al. 1999). The chalcone synthase from *Medicago sativa* was first crystallized for X-ray diffraction analysis (Ferrer et al. 1999). The three-dimensional structure of alfalfa CHS2 revealed that four chemically reactive residues (Cys164, Phe215, His303, and Asn336), which are

conserved in all the known CHS-related enzymes, define the active site. Topologically, three interconnected cavities intersect with these four residues and form the active site of CHS. These cavities include a CoA-binding tunnel, a coumaroyl-binding pocket, and a cyclization pocket. The volume and shape of these cavities govern starter molecule selectivity, polyketide chain length, and the folding and cyclization pathway. Therefore, alternations in the surface topology may affect the substrate specificity and the mode of cyclization reaction.

There is growing evidence that chalcone synthase is closely related to other plant-specific polyketide synthases, including stilbene synthase (STS) (Schöppner and Kindl 1984; Schröder 1997), acridone synthase (ACS) (Lukacin et al. 1999), bibenzyl synthase (BBS) (Preisig-Müller et al. 1995), 2-pyrone synthase (2PS) (Eckermann et al. 1998), and phlorisovalerophenone synthase (PVPS) (Paniego et al. 1999). It is also observed that very few amino acid changes in those proteins may result in shifts in enzyme function. For instance, the 2-pyrone synthase from *Gerbera hybrida* shares >70% identity with the CHS enzyme from the same plant but forms a triketide from an acetyl-CoA starter and two malonyl-CoA extender units (Eckermann et al. 1998). The functional shift from CHS to 2PS is due to three mutations in residues lining the active site, which substantially reduce the volume of the pockets for substrate binding and cyclization (Ferrer et al. 1999). Stilbene synthase has been proposed to evolve from CHS independently several times over the course of evolution (Tropf et al. 1994). Tropf et al. (1994) used site-directed mutagenesis to demonstrate that only three amino acid changes in a CHS/STS hybrid construct were necessary to obtain STS enzymatic activity, suggesting that in nature very few amino acid changes are required to change the enzymatic function of a CHS gene.

In morning glories, five functional CHS genes (A–E) have been described (Durbin et al. 2000). Based on genetic distances between sequences, the morning glory CHS genes can be divided into two subfamilies. One subfamily, designated ABC and characterized by Durbin et al. (1995), is composed of CHS A, B, and C genes. The second subfamily, designated DE and characterized by Fukada-Tanaka et al. (1997), is composed of CHS D and E genes. Phylogenetic analysis of the *Ipomoea* CHS gene family revealed that CHS A, B, and C have long branches within the phylogeny, suggesting accelerated rates of nucleotide substitution. In contrast, CHS D and E have short branches (Durbin et al. 2000). At nonsynonymous sites, the CHS ABC subfamily evolved 2.7 times faster than the CHS DE subfamily (Durbin et al. 2000). The catalytic properties of *Ipomoea* CHS genes have not yet been extensively characterized. However, bio-

chemical analysis of *Ipomoea* CHS genes revealed that only CHS D and E are capable of catalyzing the condensation reaction that results in naringenin chalcone, while the CHS A and B genes appear to encode enzymes that produce bisnoryangonin but not naringenin chalcone (Clegg and Durbin 2000).

Gene duplication with subsequent diversification among different copies is considered an important mechanism for functional divergence (Ohno 1970; Ohta 1993). The diversification of different copies may be caused by relaxation of functional constraints in redundant genes (Kimura 1983) but may also be driven by positive selection after gene duplication (Ohta 1993). A stringent criterion of positive Darwinian selection in protein evolution is a significantly higher nonsynonymous ( $d_N$ ; amino acid replacing) than synonymous ( $d_S$ ; silent) substitution rate (Li 1997). The rate ratio  $\omega = d_N/d_S$  measures the magnitude and direction of selective pressure on a protein, with  $\omega = 1$ ,  $< 1$ , and  $> 1$  indicating neutral evolution, purifying selection, and positive selection, respectively. This criterion has been used to identify a number of cases of adaptive molecular evolution (Yang and Bielawski 2000).

In this study, we investigate the role of both purifying and positive selection in the evolution of the *Ipomoea* CHS gene family and examine whether the CHS genes of the morning glories underwent adaptive evolution after gene duplication. Maximum-likelihood models of codon substitution were used to analyze the functional sequences in the *Ipomoea* CHS gene family. These models allow the  $\omega$  ratio to vary among lineages or sites and can be used to detect changes in selective pressure or operation of positive selection along branches in the phylogeny.

## Materials and Methods

### Sequence Data

Eighteen *Ipomoea* CHS genes were obtained from GenBank. The accession numbers are as follows: CHSA (U15946), CHSB (U15947), CHSC (U15949), CHSD (AB001826), and CHSE (AB001827) for *I. purpurea*; CHSA (U15943), CHSB (U15944), CHSD (AB001818), and CHSE (AB001819) for *I. nil*; CHSD1 (AB023791) and CHSLF1 (AB037680) for *I. batatas*; CHSA (U15945) for *I. platensis*; CHSA (U15952) and CHSB (U15953) for *I. triloba*; CHSA (U15950) and CHSB (U15951) for *I. trifida*; and CHSA (U15941) and CHSB (U15942) for *I. cordatotriloba*. Even though the focus of this study is on the *Ipomoea* CHS genes, we retrieved 27 additional CHS sequences from GenBank and used them for an extensive phylogenetic analysis, including 8 petunia (*Petunia hybrida*) and 2 tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) CHS genes. Note that both petunia and

tomato are members of the Solanaceae family and are in the same order, Solanales, as the family Convolvulaceae, which includes *Ipomoea*. Those additional CHS sequences and their accession numbers are *Psilotum nudum* CHS (AB022682) and PnECHS (AB040027); *Pinus strobus* PSAJ2155 (AJ004800); *Pinus sylvestris* PSCHS (X60754); *Pinus densiflora* CHS (AB015490); *Arabidopsis thaliana* CHS (AF112086); *Vitis vinifera* VVCHS (X75969); *Gerbera hybrida* GHCHS1 (Z38096) and GHCHS3 (Z38098); *Antirrhinum majus* CHS (X03710); *Scutellaria baicalensis* CHS (AF035622); *Perilla frutescens* CHS (AB002815); *Malus domestica* CHS (AB074485); *Glycine max* GMCHS1 (X54644); *Arachis hypogaea* CHS1 (AY192572); *Pisum sativum* PSPCHS1 (X63333); *Medicago sativa* ALFCHS1A (L02901); *Lycopersicon esculentum* LETCHS1 (X55194) and LETCHS2 (X55195); and *Petunia hybrida* PHCHS (AF233638), PHCHSA (X14591), PHCHSB (X14592), PHCHSD (X14593), PHCHSF (X14594), PHCHSG (X14595), PHCHSJ (X14597), and PHCHSR (X04080).

The sequences were first aligned at the amino acid level using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997). DNA sequence alignment was then constructed according to the protein sequence alignment, followed by manual adjustment, and was used for phylogenetic and evolutionary analyses.

#### Phylogenetic and Evolutionary Analyses


Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using Bayesian (Rannala and Yang 1996; Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001), maximum parsimony (MP), and distance-based neighbor joining (NJ; Saitou and Nei 1987) methods. The third codon positions were removed prior to phylogenetic analyses. Bayesian inference of phylogeny was carried out using MrBayes V2.01. The GTR + I +  $\Gamma$  model (general time-reversible with invariant sites and gamma-distributed rates for sites [Yang 1994]) was employed with the model parameters estimated from the data. Two separate runs were carried out, each with three heated chains and one cold chain. The Markov chains were run for 1,000,000 generations, and trees were sampled every 100 generations. The first 300 samples from each run were discarded as burn-in, and the remaining samples were summarized. MP and NJ analyses were performed using PAUP\* 4.0 (Swofford 1998). Heuristic tree search under parsimony was conducted using the TBR (tree bisection–reconnection) swapping algorithm. NJ analysis was performed using HKY85 (Hasegawa et al. 1985) distance measure. Bootstrap analyses were conducted with 1000 replicates.

The yn00 program in the PAML package (Yang 1997; Yang and Nielsen 2000) was used to estimate synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates

( $d_S$  and  $d_N$ ) between sequences. The method of Muse and Gaut (1994), implemented in Hyphy (Pond 2001), was used for codon-based relative rate tests to evaluate whether the rates of evolution at silent and replacement sites differed between the *Ipomoea* CHS genes. This test compares the synonymous and nonsynonymous rates between two lineages with reference to an outgroup sequence, for which we used the *Antirrhinum majus* CHS gene.

Maximum likelihood analysis under codon models were performed using the codeml program in the PAML package (Yang 1997). The equilibrium codon frequencies were calculated from the average nucleotide frequencies at the three codon positions. We note that due to the high sequence divergences, there is considerable variation in base compositions among sequences at the third codon positions, which may be a source of concern as the codon models used here assume a homogeneous process. See discussions below. The branch models allow the  $\omega$  ratio to vary among lineages and were used to conduct likelihood ratio tests (LRT) to examine whether there was an increase in the  $\omega$  ratio after gene duplications (Yang 1998; Yang and Nielsen 1998). Those models average synonymous and nonsynonymous rates over all sites in the sequence.

The site-specific models account for variable selective pressures among sites but average the synonymous and nonsynonymous rates over all lineages. We used the discrete model (M3) with  $K = 2$  site classes (Yang et al. 2000). This model detects positive selection at individual sites only if the average  $d_N$  over all lineages is higher than the average  $d_S$ . If adaptive evolution occurs at a few time points and affects a few amino acids, this model might lack power in detecting positive selection. Thus the branch-site model (Model B [Yang and Nielsen 2002]) was also used, which allows the  $\omega$  ratio to vary both among sites and among lineages. This model assumes four classes of sites. The first two site classes have  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  along all lineages in the phylogeny. The third and fourth site classes have  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  along all branches except a few branches of interest, which have  $\omega_2$ . When the estimate of  $\omega_2$  is greater than 1, some sites are under positive selection along the branches of interest. This model can be compared with model M3 ( $K = 2$ ), which allows for two site classes with  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  only, to construct a LRT. When the LRT suggests the presence of sites under positive selection, the Bayes theorem is used to calculate the posterior probabilities that each site, given the data at that site, is from the different  $\omega$  classes (Nielsen and Yang 1998; Yang et al. 2000). Sites with a high probability of coming from the class with  $\omega_2 > 1$  are likely to be under positive selection. Sites predicted to be under positive selection for the *Ipomoea* CHS genes were mapped onto the crystal structure of alfalfa CHS2 (PDB file 1BI5).



**Fig. 1.** Phylogeny of *Ipomoea* and other CHS genes inferred using the Bayesian method. P. h., *Petunia hybrida*. Posterior probabilities are shown for internal nodes as percentages. Branch lengths are reestimated for the Bayes tree topology under the model of codon substitution of Goldman and Yang (1994), measured by the expected number of nucleotide substitutions per codon.

## Results

### Phylogeny Reconstruction

The phylogenetic relationships between *Ipomoea* and other CHS genes were inferred using the Bayes, MP, and NJ methods. NJ tree reconstruction used the HKY85 distance measure, and the MP tree was reconstructed using TBR perturbation algorithm. The GTR + I +  $\Gamma$  model of sequence evolution was used in the Bayesian analysis, which produced the tree topology in Fig. 1. The MP tree is very similar to the Bayes tree, with only minor differences concerning relationships within the clades (near the tips of the tree; see Fig. 1). The NJ tree agrees with the Bayes and MP trees concerning the groupings of the *Ipomoea* CHS genes and their close relatives but is different concerning the placement of the outgroup clade consisting of *Malus*, *Glycine*, *Arachis*, *Pisum*, and *Medicago*. The monophyly of *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C genes was strongly supported in all analyses. However, the support for the monophyly of *Ipomoea* CHS D and E genes is weaker. In all analyses, *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C genes clustered with the *Petunia* CHS B, while the *Ipomoea* CHS D and E

clustered with other *Petunia* CHS genes (Fig. 1). This result is consistent with the study of Clegg and Durbin (2000). The tree topology in Fig. 1 was used in later ML analysis under models of codon substitution. We ignored uncertainties in the within-clade relationships, as previous studies demonstrated that minor differences in the tree topology had little effect (e.g., Yang et al. 2000).

### Evolutionary Analysis

We calculated  $d_S$  and  $d_N$  in pairwise comparisons of the 18 *Ipomoea* CHS genes using the method of Yang and Nielsen (2000). In all 153 pairwise comparisons, we observed  $d_N < d_S$ . The  $d_N$  values are  $> 0.25$  between subfamilies ABC and DE and  $< 0.1$  within either subfamily. We conducted the relative rate test of Muse and Gaut (1994), which tests for differences in substitution rates between two ingroup sequences relative to an outgroup sequence, for which we used *Antirrhinum majus* CHS. The test is applied to synonymous and nonsynonymous rates separately. The results showed significantly higher nonsynonymous rate in subfamily ABC than in subfamily DE; the smallest log-likelihood difference was 89.1, with  $p <$

**Table 1.** Log-likelihood values and parameter estimates under different branch models

Model	$\ell$	$p^a$	$\omega$
1 ratio	-22,191.06	1	$\hat{\omega} = 0.077$ for all branches
2 ratios	-22,190.18	2	$\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.076$ for all branches except branch $a$ $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.131$ for branch $a$
7 ratios	-22,063.69	7	$\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.049$ for background branches $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.136$ for branch $a$ $\hat{\omega}_2 = 0.087$ for branch $b$ $\hat{\omega}_3 = 0.109$ for branch $c$ $\hat{\omega}_4 = 0.261$ for branches within subfamily ABC clade $\hat{\omega}_5 = 0.055$ for branches within subfamily DE clade $\hat{\omega}_6 = 0.127$ for branches leading to <i>Petunia</i> CHS A, D, F, G, J, and R and tomato sequences

<sup>a</sup> The number of free  $\omega$  parameters in the model.

0.001 and  $df = 1$ . Significant nonsynonymous rate differences (with  $p < 0.05$ ) were also detected in eight pairwise comparisons between sequences within subfamily ABC. For the synonymous rate, only 3 of the 153 comparisons showed significant rate differences. Due to the problem of multiple comparison, those few cases may well be due to chance. Those test results, combined with the estimated branch lengths on the phylogeny (Fig. 1), suggest relative homogeneous synonymous substitution rates and drastically different nonsynonymous rates between subfamilies ABC and DE.

To characterize further the variation in evolutionary rate and in selective pressure among lineages *Ipomoea* CHS genes, we applied two kinds of likelihood rate tests under models of codon substitution. The first analysis examines the variation of selective pressure among lineages, indicated by the nonsynonymous/synonymous rate ratio  $\omega$  averaged over sites (Yang 1997, 1998; Yang and Nielsen 1998). We formulate three models concerning  $\omega$  ratios for branches in the tree (Table 1). The “one-ratio” model assumes the same  $\omega$  ratio for all branches, and gave a log-likelihood of -22,191.06, with the estimate  $\hat{\omega} = 0.077$ . The lower  $\omega$  ratio highlights the overwhelming role of purifying selection in evolution of this gene family. The “two-ratios” model assigns two  $\omega$  ratios,  $\omega_1$  for branch  $a$  (ancestral to subfamily ABC and *Petunia* CHS B; Fig. 1) and  $\omega_0$  for all other branches. The likelihood value under this model was  $\ell_1 = -22,190.18$ , with estimates  $\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.076$  and  $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.13$ . The small log-likelihood difference suggests no real difference in the fit of the two models.

We also fitted a “seven-ratios” model, with  $\omega_1$  for branch  $a$ ,  $\omega_2$  for branch  $b$ ,  $\omega_3$  branch  $c$ ,  $\omega_4$  for all branches within subfamily ABC (branches after node A),  $\omega_5$  for all branches within subfamily DE (branches after node B),  $\omega_6$  for branches leading to *Petunia* CHS A, D, F, G, J, and R and tomato sequences (branches after node C), and  $\omega_0$  for all other “background” branches. This model fits the data significantly better than the one-ratio model ( $2\delta\ell =$

254.74, and  $p < 0.001$  with  $df = 6$ ), indicating significant variation in selective pressure among lineages. Estimates of the  $\omega$  ratios under the model (Table 1) suggest that subfamily DE is under strong purifying selection, with  $\hat{\omega}_5 = 0.055$  close to the background ratio  $\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.049$ , while branch  $a$  (with  $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.136$ ), branch  $b$  (with  $\hat{\omega}_2 = 0.087$ ), branch  $c$  (with  $\hat{\omega}_3 = 0.109$ ), and branches leading to *Petunia* CHS A, D, F, G, J, and R and tomato sequences (with  $\hat{\omega}_6 = 0.127$ ) are under weaker selective constraint (Table 1). Branches within subfamily ABC clade have the highest  $\omega$  ratio, with  $\hat{\omega}_4 = 0.261$ . The elevated  $\omega$  ratios and nonsynonymous rates are compatible with both relaxed selective constraint and operation of positive selection acting on a subset of sites.

The above analysis assumes the same  $\omega$  for all sites and, given the overwhelming effect of purifying selection in the CHS genes, is unlikely to identify positive selection that affects only a few sites. Thus, in the second analysis, we use the branch-site model (Model B [Yang and Nielsen 2002]) to investigate possible roles of positive selection driving functional divergence after gene duplication. When branch  $a$  is considered the foreground branch, and all other branches in the tree the background branches, parameter estimates suggested that 95.5% of sites are under selective constraint (with  $\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.027$  and  $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.189$ ) throughout all lineages, while 4.5% of sites are under positive selection, with  $\hat{\omega}_2 = 4.94$  along branch  $a$ . When branch  $b$  is considered the foreground branch, and all other branches the background branches, parameter estimates suggested that 96.4% of sites are under selective constraint (with  $\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.026$  and  $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.186$ ) throughout all lineages, while 3.6% of sites are under positive selection with  $\hat{\omega}_2 = 52.22$  along branch  $b$ . To construct LRTs, we fitted the site-specific model M3 (discrete with  $K = 2$  site classes) as the null model, which allows only two  $\omega$  ratios for all branches, estimated to be  $\hat{\omega}_0 = 0.026$  and  $\hat{\omega}_1 = 0.186$ , and gave a log likelihood value of  $\ell = -21,755.82$ . Comparison between the branch-site model B and the site-specific model M3 thus gave

**Table 2.** Log-likelihood values and parameter estimates under branch-site models

Foreground branch(es)	$\ell$	$p$	Parameter estimates	Positively selected sites
Branch <i>a</i>	-21,748.42	5	$p_0 = 0.61, p_1 = 0.345, (p_2 + p_3 = 0.045)$ $\omega_0 = 0.027, \omega_1 = 0.189, \omega_2 = 4.941$	4 sites at $p > 85\%$
Branch <i>b</i>	-21,750.32	5	$p_0 = 0.604, p_1 = 0.36, (p_2 + p_3 = 0.036)$ $\omega_0 = 0.026, \omega_1 = 0.186, \omega_2 = 52.219$	2 sites at $p > 85\%$
Branches within subfamily ABC clade	-21,662.78	5	$p_0 = 0.457, p_1 = 0.246, (p_2 + p_3 = 0.297)$ $\omega_0 = 0.022, \omega_1 = 0.173, \omega_2 = 0.727$	None

$2\Delta\ell = 14.8$  and  $2\Delta\ell = 11.0$  for tests of branches *a* and *b*, respectively, with  $p < 0.005$  and  $df = 2$ . Thus positive selection appears to have operated on a subset of amino acid sites along lineages *a* and *b* (Table 2). As a negative control, we also applied the branch-site model to branches within subfamily ABC clade and did not find positive selection, despite the fact that estimates of  $\omega$  averaged over all sites are higher within subfamily ABC clade than along the branches ancestral to subfamily ABC clade in the branch analysis (see Table 1; seven-ratios model). Thus the higher  $\omega$  ratio within subfamily ABC clade than within subfamily DE clade appears to be due to relaxed purifying selection rather than positive selection. We note that there is considerable overlap in species sampling between subfamily ABC and DE clades, so that the observed difference in the  $\omega$  ratio is unlikely to be due to difference in population size or efficacy of purifying selection between the two clades.

To examine the sensitivity of the LRT to sampling of sequences included in the data set, we also analyzed a small data set consisting of only *Ipomoea* CHS genes, using *Antirrhinum majus* CHS, *Scutellaria baicalensis* CHS, and *Perilla frutescens* CHS as the outgroup. Branches *a* and *b* thus become one branch for the small data set. With the removal of distant outgroups, the sequence divergence becomes much lower, and the codon usage becomes much more homogeneous among sequences. The results obtained from this small data set (not shown) are very similar to those described above for the large data set, with some sites detected to be under positive selection along the branch separating the *Ipomoea* CHS ABC subfamily from the DE subfamily. Our results thus appear to be robust to minor changes to the tree topology and to sequence sampling.


To identify amino acids that might be responsible for the adaptive evolution along branches *a* and *b*, we calculated posterior probabilities that each site is from the four site classes under the branch-site model. At the  $p > 50\%$  level, eight sites were identified to be under positive selection along branch *a*, and five sites were identified to be under positive selection along branch *b*. At the  $p > 85\%$  level, four and two sites were identified for branches *a* and *b*, respectively. Because the empirical Bayes calculation

does not account for sampling errors in the parameter estimates (Yang and Nielsen 2002) and because the inference is performed on every site, the results have to be taken with caution. For comparison, we also performed ML reconstruction of ancestral sequences using the codon model of Goldman and Yang (1994). The reconstruction suggested 67 amino acid replacements along branch *a* and 61 amino acid replacements along branch *b*. All sites, except for one, that are inferred to be under positive selection under the branch-site model are on the list of changes based on ancestral reconstruction.

The crystal structure of chalcone synthase CHS2 from the alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) has been determined by Ferrer et al. (1999). The structure revealed that four chemically reactive residues (Cys164, Phe215, His303, and Asn336), which are conserved in all the known CHS-related enzyme, define the active site, five residues (Ser133, Glu192, Thr194, Thr197, and Ser338) form the coumaroyl-binding pocket, and seven residues (Thr132, Met137, Phe215, Ile254, Gly256, Phe265, and Pro375) form the cyclization pocket. Moreover, all CHS-like proteins exhibit strong conservation of residues shaping the geometry of the active site (Pro138, Gly163, Gly167, Leu214, Asp217, Gly262, Pro304, Gly305, Gly306, Gly335, Gly374, Pro375, and Gly376) (Ferrer et al. 1999).

Comparison of the protein sequences of alfalfa CHS2 and the *Ipomoea* CHS indicated that the *Ipomoea* sequences of subfamily DE possess exactly the same amino acids as alfalfa CHS2 at the active site, the coumaroyl-binding pocket, and the cyclization pocket. Sequences of subfamily ABC have conserved residues at the active site as well, but some sites (Thr194, Thr197, Ile254, Gly256, and Ser338) involved in the formation of the coumaroyl-binding pocket and the cyclization pocket experienced amino acid replacements. The likelihood analysis suggested that one of those replacements (Gly256) happened along branch *a* and was driven by positive selection with the posterior probability  $p = 0.98$ .

The likelihood analysis also suggested some other sites not directly involved in the formation of the initiation/elongation/cyclization cavity to be under positive selection. Interestingly, those sites are scattered over the entire primary sequence but, when



**Fig. 2.** Location of amino acid residuals identified as likely to be under positive selection in *Ipomoea* CHS genes using the structure of alfalfa CHS2 (PDB file 1B15) as a template. The active sites are shown in black spacefill. The residuals identified as under positive selection are in gray spacefill, and most of them are around the active sites.

mapped onto the crystal structure (Fig. 2), tend to be clustered around the active site, suggesting that they might also have affected the configuration and function at the active site.

## Discussion

Gene duplication is often followed by accelerated evolution (Li 1985; Bielawski and Yang 2001), which can be due to either positive Darwinian selection for functional divergence (Ohta 1993) or relaxation of selective constraints (Kimura 1983). In the former case, the requirement of new function exerts directional selective pressure, driving the fixation of advantageous nonsynonymous mutations. In the latter case, neutral mutations are fixed at random, which, perhaps due to environmental changes, lead to a novel function in one or both copies.

Many plant species are found to contain small multigene families of CHS genes (Koes et al. 1989; Durbin et al. 1995; Helariutta et al. 1996). Analysis of CHS multigene family suggested recurrent gene duplications and subsequent adaptive differentiation among duplicated copies (Durbin et al. 2000; Helariutta et al. 1996). Some other plant-specific polyketide synthases, including STS, ACS, BBS, 2PS, and PVPS, are proposed to have evolved from CHSs by the same mechanism (Schröder 1997; Lukacin et al. 1999; Preisig-Müller et al. 1995; Eckermann et al. 1998; Paniego et al. 1999). Those enzymes share a common chemical mechanism with CHS but differ

from CHS in their substrate specificity and/or in the stereochemistry of the polyketide cyclization reaction. Because of the similarity both in the reactions catalyzed and in sequences, it was proposed that these enzymes formed a family called the CHS superfamily (Schröder 1997). As many as 150 CHS-related sequences have been cloned from various plants (Ferrer et al. 1999). However, the functional diversity of CHS-related proteins is not yet fully explored. Schröder (1997) pointed out that too many chalcone synthase entries in public sequence databases are solely identified by sequence similarity. It is likely that some of the sequences putatively identified as chalcone synthase on the basis of their sequence similarity actually encode related enzymes. The clone of CHS2 from *Gerbera hybrida* is an example. It was originally annotated as a CHS. Later, Eckermann et al. (1998) convincingly showed that it serves an alternate function in the biosynthesis of pyrone glucosides that contribute to insect and pathogen resistance in *Gerbera hybrida*. It was thus renamed 2-pyrone synthase (2PS). Based on the similarity of 2PS to the CHSs in *Gerbera hybrida* and the sporadic distribution of gerberin-type compounds, it was proposed that 2PS evolved from CHS by gene duplication and subsequent differentiation, and positive selection was believed to drive the differentiation among duplicated CHS copies (Yang et al. 2002).

Five functional CHS genes have been reported in the morning glories. Currently all these sequences are annotated as CHS sequences. However, the enzyme functions of these genes have not yet been clearly demonstrated. The substrate and product specificities of these sequences remain unknown. The relative rate tests and the codon-based likelihood analysis in this study suggested that the nonsynonymous rate is higher in subfamily ABC than in subfamily DE. Maximum-likelihood analysis under the branch models also indicated the role of positive selection along the branch leading to subfamily ABC, even though most of sites in the gene are under strong purifying selection. This result, together with the expression patterns of CHS D and E in morning glories (Durbin et al. 2000), leads us to conclude that some amino acid replacements along the branch ancestral to subfamily ABC are driven by Darwinian selection driving functional divergence.

Of the five amino acid replacements that are involved in the formation of the coumaroyl-binding pocket and the cyclization pocket experienced amino acid replacements along the branches ancestral to subfamily ABC, one (G256L) was identified to be under positive selection by the likelihood analysis. Site Gly256 is involved in the formation of the cyclization pocket and is conserved in all CHSs, STSs, ACSs, and BBSs. However, natural variation at this position occurs in *Gerbera hybrida* 2PS, *Petunia* CHS

B, and *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C (Jez et al. 2001), with glycine replaced by leucine. Jez et al. (2000, 2001) constructed a CHS G256L mutant from the alfalfa CHS2 gene by site-directed mutagenesis. Comparison of the molecular surface of the initiation/elongation/cyclization cavity of wild-type CHS and the G256L mutant revealed that in the mutant, the cavity volume is reduced from 605 to 572 Å<sup>3</sup>. Functionally, the mutant is involved in triketide formation, and the wild type in tetraketide synthesis. The mutant thus produces methylpyrone and *bis*-noryangonin, instead of naringenin chalcone, from acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoAs. Similarly, biochemical analysis of natural *Ipomoea* CHS genes revealed that only CHS D and E genes are capable of catalyzing the condensation reaction that results in naringenin chalcone, while the CHS A and B genes appear to encode enzymes that produce *bis*-noryangonin but not naringenin chalcone (Clegg and Durbin 2000). Therefore, sequence divergences between *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C and CHS D and E genes correlate with functional changes in the kinetic and specificity properties. Positive Darwinian selection seem to have promoted the divergence of subfamily ABC and subfamily DE and is at least partially responsible for a rate increase following gene duplication.

The *Petunia* CHS gene family had been extensively characterized, with as many as 12 genes provisionally identified (Koes et al. 1987). Eight complete CHS genes of *Petunia* were used in this study. The *Petunia* CHS genes appear to share common lines of descent with the *Ipomoea* CHS genes, with the majority of *Petunia* CHS genes close to *Ipomoea* CHS D and E, and *Petunia* CHS B close to *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C. This pattern seems to suggest an ancient gene duplication prior to the divergence between Solanaceae and Convolvulaceae. Durbin et al. (2000) estimated that the divergence between *Ipomoea* subfamilies ABC and DE occurred more than 100 Myr ago, while the time of divergence between Solanaceae and Convolvulaceae is about 70 Myr ago. The long branch for *Petunia* CHS B and *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C in Fig. 1 indicates an accelerated evolution after gene duplication, which led to the substantial sequence divergence between subfamily ABC and DE in *Ipomoea* and *Petunia* CHS B and the remaining *Petunia* CHS sequences.

Currently, the phenotypic effects of the CHS variants in *Ipomoea* and *Petunia* remain to be established. Comparative analysis of gene expression patterns in *Ipomoea* showed that CHS D is evidently responsible for the accumulation of pigment in *Ipomoea*, whereas CHS A, B, and C are mainly expressed in the unpigmented tube and expressed at a low level in floral limb. It is unclear, so far, whether mutations of CHS A, B, and C genes have a direct impact on

divergent pigmentation patterns in *Ipomoea*. Generally loss of CHS function results in a lack of anthocyanin and an albino flower color phenotype. This is not unexpected considering that CHS is a key enzyme in the anthocyanin pathway. However, it is now known that CHS is encoded by a small multigene family in many species including those species containing mutations that result in loss of CHS activity (Durbin et al. 2000). If there is redundancy in function, then presumably another CHS gene family member would assume the function of a lost CHS member and there would be no loss of pigmentation observed in mutant phenotypes (Durbin et al. 2000). Genetic redundancy must be of some adaptive value to the plant to persist over evolutionary time. Without positive selection for the maintenance of sequence fidelity, redundant gene copies will erode over time due to accumulation of deleterious mutations (Walsh 1995). Natural variation in *Ipomoea* CHS A, B, and C genes does not result in functionally impaired enzymes but, in fact, generates catalytically active enzymes that display altered substrate and product specificities. It is therefore a prime facie evidence that the duplicate is positively selected. The evolution of new catalytic functions from CHS genes is also found elsewhere, for example, at least three independent shifts from CHS to stilbene synthase (STS) have arisen in seed plant evolution (Tropf et al. 1994). Catalytic shifts from CHS to acridone synthase (ACS) (Lukacin et al. 1999), bibenzyl synthase (BBS) (Preisig-Müller et al. 1995), 2-pyrone synthase (2PS) (Eckermann et al. 1998), and phlorisovalerophenone synthase (PVPS) (Paniego et al. 1999) are also evident. Therefore, gene duplication, coupled with functional divergence, is a recurrent pattern in the evolution of the CHS gene family (Clegg and Durbin 2003).

The likelihood analysis showed that along branches *a* and *b*, some sites not directly involved in the formation of the initiation/elongation/cyclization cavity appear to be under positive selection as well. Most of these sites are clustered around the active site in the crystal structure (Fig. 2). It is not yet established whether changes at these sites are involved in a shift in enzymatic function. However, Staffard (1991) postulated that the flavonoid pathway might be organized into aggregates or complexes such as exist in other pathways (Hrazdina and Jensen 1992; Srere et al. 1987; Srivastava and Bernhard 1986). Such complexes offer many advantages in terms of kinetics, channeling of intermediates, and protection of labile intermediates (Debnam et al. 1997). Srere et al. (1987) postulated that if a protein were to function as part of a metabolon, it must have conserved binding sites for maintenance of the complex and that it may be these binding sites that distinguish different isozymes. It is possible that the amino acid differences observed in the *Ipomoea* CHS

genes could be involved in directing the protein into the correct complex (Durbin et al. 2000).

**Acknowledgments.** We thank two anonymous reviewers for comments. This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 39830020 to H.G., and HFSP Grant Y0055/2001-M and BBSRC Grant 31/G14969 to Z.Y.

## References

Bielawski JP, Yang Z (2001) Positive and negative selection in the DAZ gene family. *Mol Biol Evol* 18:523–529

Clegg MT, Durbin ML (2000) Flower color variation: A model for the experimental study of evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:7016–7023

Clegg MT, Durbin ML (2003) Tracing floral adaptations from ecology to molecules. *Nature Rev Genet* 4:260–215

Debnam PM, Shearer G, Blackwood L, Kohl DH (1997) Evidence for channeling of intermediates in the oxidative pentose phosphate pathway by soybean and pea nodule extracts, yeast extracts, and purified yeast enzymes. *Eur J Biochem* 246:283–290

Durbin ML, Learn GH, Huttley GA, Clegg MT (1995) Evolution of the chalcone synthase gene family in the genus *Ipomoea*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:3338–3342

Durbin ML, McCaig B, Clegg MT (2000) Molecular evolution of the chalcone synthase multigene family in the morning glory genome. *Plant Mol Biol* 42:79–92

Eckermann S, Schröder G, Schmidt J, Strack D, Edrada RA, Helariutta Y, Elomaa P, Kotilainen I, Proksch P, Teeri TH, Schröder J (1998) New pathway to polyketides in plants. *Nature* 396:390–397

Ferrer JL, Jez JM, Bowman ME, Dixon RA, Noel JP (1999) Structure of chalcone synthase and the molecular basis of plant polyketide biosynthesis. *Nature Struct Biol* 6:775–784

Fukada-Tanaka S, Hoshino A, Hisatomi Y, Habu Y, Hasebe M, Iida S (1997) Identification of new chalcone synthase genes for flower pigmentation in the Japanese and common morning glories. *Plant Cell Physiol* 38:754–758

Goldman N, Yang Z (1994) A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for protein-coding DNA sequences. *Mol Biol Evol* 11:725–736

Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T (1985) Dating the human-ape split by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. *J Mol Evol* 22:160–174

Helariutta Y, Kotilainen M, Elomaa P, Kalkkinen N, Bremer K, Teeri TH, Albert VA (1996) Duplication and functional divergence in the chalcone synthase gene family of Asteraceae: Evolution with substrate change and catalytic simplification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93:9033–9038

Hrazdina G, Jensen RA (1992) Spatial organization of enzymes in plant metabolic pathways. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 43:241–267

Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17:754–755

Jez JM, Austin MB, Ferrer JL, Bowman ME, Schröder J, Noel JP (2000) Structural control of polyketide formation in plant-specific polyketide synthases. *Chem Biol* 7:919–930

Jez JM, Bowman ME, Noel JP (2001) Structure-guided programming of polyketide chain-length determination in chalcone synthase. *Biochemistry* 40:14829–14838

Kimura M (1983) The neutral theory of molecular evolution. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK

Koes RE, Spelt CE, Mol JNM, Gerats AG (1987) The chalcone synthase multigene family of *Petunia hybrida* (V30): Sequence homology, chromosomal localization and evolutionary aspects. *Plant Mol Biol* 10:159–169

Koes RE, Spelt CE, van den Elzen PJM, Mol JNM (1989) Cloning and molecular characterization of the chalcone synthase multigene family of *Petunia hybrida*. *Gene* 81:245–257

Li W-H (1985) Accelerated evolution following gene duplication and its implications for the neutralist-selectionist controversy. In: Otha T, Aoki K (eds) Population genetics and molecular evolution. Japan Scientific Press, Tokyo, pp 333–352

Li W-H (1997) Molecular evolution. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA

Lukacin R, Springob K, Urbanke C, Ernwein C, Schröder G, Schröder J, Matern U (1999) Native acridone synthase I and II from *Ruta graveolens* L. form homodimers. *FEBS Lett* 448:135–140

Muse SV, Gaut BS (1994) A likelihood approach for comparing synonymous and nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rates with application to the chloroplast genome. *Mol Biol Evol* 11:715–724

Nielsen R, Yang Z (1998) Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid sites and application to the HIV-1 envelope gene. *Genetics* 148:929–936

Ohno S (1970) Evolution by gene duplication. Springer-Verlag, New York

Ohta T (1993) Pattern of nucleotide substitution in growth hormone-prolactin gene family: A paradigm for evolution by gene duplication. *Genetics* 134:1271–1276

Paniego NB, Zuurbier KWM, Fung SY, Van der Heijden R, Scheffer JJC, Verpoorte R (1999) Phlorisovalerophenone synthase, a novel polyketide synthase from hop (*Humulus lupulus* L.) cones. *Eur J Biochem* 262:612–616

Pond SK (2001) Hypothesis testing using phylogenies (HYPHY), version 0.91 beta. University of Arizona, Tucson

Preisig-Müller R, Gnau P, Kindl H (1995) The inducible 9,10-dihydrophenanthrene pathway: Characterization and expression of bibenzyl synthase and S-adenosylhomocysteine hydrolase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 317:201–207

Rannala B, Yang Z (1996) Probability distribution of molecular evolutionary trees: A new method of phylogenetic inference. *J Mol Evol* 43:304–311

Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 4:406–425

Schöppner A, Kindl H (1984) Purification and properties of a stilbene synthase from induced cell suspension cultures of peanut. *J Biol Chem* 259:6806–6811

Schröder J (1997) A family of plant-specific polyketide synthases: Facts and predictions. *Trends Plant Sci* 2:373–378

Srere PA, Sumegi B, Sherry AD (1987) Organizational aspects of the citric acid cycle. *Biochem Soc Symp* 54:173–182

Srivastava DK, Bernhard SA (1986) Metabolite transfer via enzyme-enzyme complexes. *Science* 234:1081–1084

Stafford HA (1991) Flavonoid evolution: An enzymic approach. *Plant Physiol* 96:680–685

Swofford DL (1998) PAUP\*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\* and other methods), version 4.0. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA

Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The CLUSTAL-X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25:4876–4882

Tropf S, Lanz T, Rensing SA, Schröder J, Schröder G (1994) Evidence that stilbene synthases have developed from chalcone synthases several times in the course of evolution. *J Mol Evol* 38:610–618

Walsh JB (1995) How often do duplicated genes evolve new functions? *Genetics* 139:421–428

Yang J, Huang J, Gu H, Zhong Y, Yang Z (2002) Duplication and adaptive evolution of the chalcone synthase genes of *Dendranthema* (Asteraceae). *Mol Biol Evol* 19:1752–1759

Yang Z (1994) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic estimation from DNA sequences with variable rates over sites: approximate methods. *J Mol Evol* 39:306–314

Yang Z (1997) PAML: A program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Comput Appl Biosci* 13:555–556 (<http://abacus.gene.ucl.ac.uk/software/paml.html>)

Yang Z (1998) Likelihood ratio tests for detecting positive selection and application to primate lysozyme evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 15:568–573

Yang Z, Bielawski JP (2000) Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 15:496–503

Yang Z, Nielsen R (1998) Synonymous and nonsynonymous rate variation in nuclear genes of mammals. *J Mol Evol* 46:409–418

Yang Z, Nielsen R (2000) Estimating synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates under realistic evolutionary models. *Mol Biol Evol* 17:32–43

Yang Z, Nielsen R (2002) Codon-substitution models for detecting molecular adaptation at individual sites along specific lineages. *Mol Biol Evol* 19:908–917

Yang Z, Nielsen R, Goldman N, Pedersen AMK (2000) Codon-substitution models for heterogeneous selection pressure at amino acid sites. *Genetics* 155:431–449